
EVALUATION FORM: ASSESSMENT OF THE VALUE/IMPACT OF CONSUMER INVOLVEMENT ACTIVITY ON THE PROJECT

INSERT LOGO

In order to improve the quality, transparency, and consistency of the evidence base for consumer involvement it is important that it is evaluated.

This form can be used to support consumer/researcher/research organisation evaluation of the impacts of consumer involvement on study (or other project) by helping to identify specific examples of value or impact. The form should be adapted to reflect other types of study or other non-study-based projects (e.g. consumers involvement projects conducted at organisational level).

The form may be completed by an individual (e.g. by a consumer representative or principal investigator) or collectively by a group (e.g. joint reflection by the consumer representatives and researchers).

SAMPLE

SAMPLE

Name(s)/roles of those taking part in this evaluation:	
ASSESSMENT OF KEY OUTCOMES OF CONSUMER INVOLVEMENT ON THE PROJECT	
Patient-centred - Centred around patients' values, beliefs and experiences. Anticipates participant issues, respects and reflects patient experience. Engages patients in a respectful, culturally appropriate and condition/ disease-sensitive manner	
Did involvement make study more patient-centred?	
Meaningful – Research/methods/outcomes are reflective of and relevant/meaningful to the community as well as impactful (as perceived by community and all stakeholders).	
Did involvement help confirm or ensure that study endpoints/outcomes were meaningful to patients/the patient community?	
Ethical design – The study optimally protects the rights, safety and well-being of participants	
Did involvement influence any key aspects of the study, e.g. participant safety, data protection/privacy, optimisation of informed consent, results shared in a timely fashion?	
Realistic & feasible – The study is designed to be patient-centric. The burden on participants of study requirements has been reduced to a minimum.	
Did involvement help identify and address practical challenges to trial participation or help optimise the clinical trial experience for participants?	
Understandable - Patient/community- facing documents were written in appropriate language and confirmed as readable for the intended audience.	
Did involvement help confirm/ensure documents/materials for study participants (or the patient community) were understandable?	
Generalisable - Research is appropriately generalisable and the reports of the research make it clear who the study results apply to.	
Did involvement help confirm/ensure the research was generalisable to the population it was intended to help?	
Legitimate - The findings are considered legitimate and trusted by patient communities, increasing the likelihood that the results will be translated/adopted due to buy-in.	
Did involvement improve the likelihood that consumers would support the translation/adoption of the results (and why)?	